

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER   . FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/176,663 01/03/	94 URSICH	N EXAMINER)46	
		NEARY ON THE	PAPER NUMBER
EDWARD D. GILHOOLY	32M1/0331	<u> </u>	2
EDWARD D. GILHOOLY, 53 W. JACKSON BLVD.	1.11).		
BUITE 1516 CHICAGO, JL 60604	•	DATE MAILED:	
a communication from the examine IISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRA	r in charge of your application. ADEMARKS		03/31/94
his application has been examined	Responsive to communication filed on_	ſ	This action is made fine
	to this action is set to expire 3 month	and the second s	
to respond within the period for resp	conse will cause the application to become aba	ndoned. 35 U.S.C. 133	the date of this letter.
THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT	(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
Notice of References Cited by E	- 181		
Notice of Art Cited by Applicant,		Notice of Draftsman's Pater Notice of Informal Patent Ap	nt Drawing Review, PTO-948
Information on How to Effect Dr.			
SUMMARY OF ACTION			
	1-7		
Claims		8	re pending in the application
Of the above, claims		are wi	thdrawn from consideration.
	2-6		are allowed.
Claims	<u> 47</u>		ure rejected.
Claims			ure objected to.
Claims		•	
This application has been filed with	informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which	are acceptable for examina	tion purposes.
Formal drawings are required in res	sponse to this Office action.		
The corrected or substitute drawing are acceptable; and acceptable	gs have been received on ble (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Pa	. Under 37 C.F.	R. 1.84 these drawings -948).
The proposed additional or substitue and the examiner;	ute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on examiner (see explanation).	has (have) been	approved by the
The proposed drawing correction, fi	iled, has been 🗖 ap	proved;  disapproved (se	e explanation).
Acknowledgement is made of the ci	talm for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certi serial no; filed on	fled copy has Deen rece	lved not been received
Since this application apppears to be accordance with the practice under	be in condition for allowance except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	natters, prosecution as to the	merits is closed in
Other			

Serial No. 176,663

Art Unit 322

1. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7, at line 3, the term "actuators" should be corrected to --actuator--.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by PouLin et al.

The pair of locking elements in operative relationship with said actuator means is readable on locking elements 36 attached to actuator 38 as shown in Figure 9 of PouLin et al.

- 3. Claims 2-7 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Khiem Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-1738.

Nguyen/msm March 23, 1994

Khiem Nguyer-KHIEM NGUYEN

GROUP 320